

# Role of the media in the inflation expectation formation process

**Tetiana Yukhymenko** National Bank of Ukraine

September 2022







## Content

- Motivation
- Literature overview
- Inflation expectations
- News corpus
- Constructing aggregate news indexes
  - Dictionary-based approach
  - Unsupervised ML approach
- Estimation results
- Concluding remarks

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in the research are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Bank of Ukraine.



## **Motivation**

- Anchoring inflation expectations remains a key challenge for central banks, especially in developing countries.
- It can be assumed that respondents are also influenced by tax, tariffs, spending, and monetary and regulatory policy uncertainties. These effects, however, are hard to detect because uncertainty is unobservable.
- The news-based method could be used to investigate the impact of the media environment on the formation of respondents' expectations.



## Literature overview

- Information rigidities have a large impact on the macroeconomic variables, thus they should be integrated into modern macroeconomic policies to execute the optimal monetary policy (Coibion and Gorodnichenko, 2012).
- Many modern researchers are trying to investigate the impact of news on the formation of inflation expectations:
  - Dräger and Lamla (2017) found evidence that respondents are more likely to adjust their expectations if they have heard the news on inflation.
  - Bauer (2015) used macroeconomic data surprises cumulated over the monthly or quarterly observation windows as an economic news measure.
  - Larsen et al. (2020) applied machine learning algorithms to a large news corpus and examined the role of the media in the expectation formation process of households.
  - Goloshchapova and Andreev (2017) proposed using machine learning techniques on textual data to measure inflation expectations.
  - Angelico et al. (2021) used a similar approach to build real-time measures of consumers' inflation expectations from tweets.



## **Data: Inflation expectations**



Inflation expectations for the next 12 months, %

Source: NBU, GfK Ukraine, Info Sapiens.

 The National Bank of Ukraine has been running surveys of inflation expectations for the next 12 months for several types of agents: households, banks, businesses, and professional forecasters.



## Data: News corpus

Number of news per month

#### 400 finance.ua finance.ua 12000 liga 350 liga ukr pravda ukr pravda 10000 300 unian unian 250 8000 200 6000 150 white how 4000 100 2000 50 0 0 2012M07 2000M01 2004M03 2008M05 2016M09 2020M11 2000M01 2004M03 2008M05 2012M07 2016M09 2020M11 date date

#### Average size of articles per month

 Our news corpus consists of more than 2 000 000 articles published online covering a sample of 20 years from January 2000 to December 2020.



## Constructing aggregate news indexes

- All measurement methods that are based on text-mining can be divided into in two groups:
  - 1) naïve methods
  - 2) more complex methods, which are based on machine learning (LDA, BERT etc.)
- News content in our corpus is related mainly to economic, social and political topics
- We do not consider the sentiments of news content in this research
- News indices are calculated as a share of articles related to the topic or more common denotation "document frequency".

$$df(t,D) = \frac{d}{N}$$

where N is the total number of documents in the corpus D, and is the number of documents d where the term appears.



## **Dictionary-based approach**

### Document frequency of topics relevant to inflation expectations



- The dictionary-based approach is the simplest approach to estimating the impact of news on various macroeconomic indicators.
- Dictionary-based approach to constructing news indices requires good expertise aiming to select relevant keywords. In this case, to determine which prices worry Ukrainians the most, we turn to the consumer basket of the average household.



## **Unsupervised ML approach**



### Distribution of topics received with LDA

- One of the important shortcomings of the dictionary-based approach is the availability of quality expertise and the selection of texts based on it. In particular, the article may contain keywords, but its topic is devoted to a completely different issue.
- The solution here is unsupervised topic modeling algorithms. These statistical methods analyze the words of the collection of texts and divide them into subgroups, where each subgroup is associated with a set of keywords.
- Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) presented by Blei et al. in 2003 nowadays is a very common example of a topic modeling method. The LDA model is an unsupervised learning algorithm that does not need labeled training samples.



of Ukraine

## **Unsupervised ML approach**



- Most of the news clusters are expectedly attributed to the politics, international relations, parliament and government.
- At the same time, some topics are clearly different and can be referred to the economic topics that may affect inflation expectations. Most news topics do not belong to one but to several National Bank clusters.



## **Unsupervised ML approach**

Share of topics related to exchange rate movements , identified by LDA



Share of topics related to oil and gas, identified by LDA



- We built the indexes on the same principle as in the dictionary-based approach (document frequency).
- The popularity of certain topics highly corresponds to the historical development of events



Formation of inflation expectations

$$E\pi_t = \alpha + \beta\pi_{t-1} + \gamma(\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}) + \varepsilon \longrightarrow E\pi_t = \alpha + \beta\pi_{t-1} + \delta df_T^m + \varepsilon$$



where  $E\pi_t$  is expected inflation in period t,  $\pi_{t-1}$  denotes inflation in previous period, and  $\pi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-2}$  stands for change in inflation,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\eta$ - coefficients of regression, while  $\varepsilon$  is an error, df denotes document frequency of the news topic m in period T

- I used an extrapolative approach to the formation of inflation expectations
- Annual CPI change is used as a measure of inflation.



	Variables	Without transformations						1 <sup>st</sup> difference					
Respondents		Inflatio n	Exchange rate	Utilitie s	Food	Fuel	Variables	Inflation	Exchange rate	Utilities	Food	Fuel	
	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub>	0.2949 ( <b>0.000</b> )	0.289 <u>(0.000</u> )	0.2994 (0.000)	0.297 (0.000)	0.295 <u>(0.000)</u>	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub> - <b>π</b> <sub>t-2</sub>	0.1047 (0.022)	0.1545 <u>(0.005)</u>	0.1642 <u>(0.003)</u>	0.1658 <u>(0.003)</u>	0.1737 <u>(<b>0.001)</b></u>	
	df <sup>m</sup> t	-1.2029 (0.194)	0.9028 (0.510)	-0.7928 (0.356)	-4.0589 (0.099)	0.0736 (0.824)	$df^{m}_{t}$ - $df^{m}_{t-1}$	0.6139 (0.379)	1.5717 (0.189)	0.096 (0.903)	1.6328 (0.460)	0.3832 (0.220)	
Banks	df <sup>m</sup> t-1	1.1547 (0.360)	-0.7359 (0.662)	2.2787 (0.099)	2.9647 (0.104)	-0.3926 (0.346)	$df^{m}_{t\text{-}1}\text{-}df^{m}_{t\text{-}2}$	1.5263 (0.123)	-2.2497 (0.153)	1.2997 (0.306)	0.8267 (0.629)	-0.1084 (0.772)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-2	1.336 (0.153)	-0.7157 (0.591)	-1.761 (0.143)	-0.4362 (0.840)	-0.7258 (0.113)	$df^{m}_{t\text{-}2}\text{-}df^{m}_{t\text{-}3}$	1.614 (0.031)	0.5906 (0.624)	-2.2488 (0.037)	-3.6356 (0.065)	-0.972 (0.026)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-3	-1.074 (0.264)	-0.6057 (0.675)	<b>0.7886</b> (0.345)	-1.4298 (0.534)	0.7137 (0.100)	$df^{m}_{t\text{-}3}\text{-} df^{m}_{t\text{-}4}$	-1.037 (0.158)	-0.5096 (0.689)	0.9001 (0.246)	2.9091 (0.167)	0.8387 (0.033)	
	С	6.4354 (0.093)	9.5205 <u>(0.000</u> )	5.7224 (0.000)	10.1442 (0.001)	9.7139 <u>(0.000)</u>	С	-8.3529 <u>(0.006)</u>	0.9782 (0.546)	-0.4893 (0.664)	-2.2835 (0.388)	-1.1227 (0.573)	
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.843	0.825	0.84	0.837	0.845	R <sup>2</sup>	0.57	0.334	0.372	0.34	0.413	
	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	(0.030)	(0.015)	(0.027)	<u>(0.006)</u>	

Relationship between monthly news indices and inflation expectations

	Variables	Without transformations						1 <sup>st</sup> difference					
Respondents		Inflatio n	Exchange rate	Utilitie s	Food	Fuel	Variables	Inflation	Exchange rate	Utilities	Food	Fuel	
	Π t-1	0.3452 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.3698 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.3173 (0.000)	7.0696 (0.000)	0.3552 <u>(0.000)</u>	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub> - <b>π</b> <sub>t-2</sub>	0.1434 <u>(0.003)</u>	0.1344 <u>(0.004)</u>	0.1467 <u>(0.002)</u>	0.1326 <u>(0.003)</u>	0.14 <u>(0.003)</u>	
	df <sup>m</sup> t	-0.1827 (0.843)	1.2093 (0.245)	-0.2132 (0.768)	0.3255 <u>(0.000)</u>	<b>0.2268</b> (0.451)	$df^{m}_{t}$ - $df^{m}_{t-1}$	1.0633 (0.122)	0.9183 (0.232)	-0.8341 (0.153)	<b>0.9607</b> (0.277)	0.0557 (0.799)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-1	-2.0502 (0.073)	-0.5729 (0.672)	1.5272 (0.030)	3.3463 (0.005)	0.2061 (0.293)	$\mathbf{df^{m}}_{t-1}$ - $\mathbf{df^{m}}_{t-2}$	0.3751 (0.645)	0.0937 (0.926)	1.1851 (0.037)	-0.5685 (0.659)	0.0689 (0.631)	
Businesses	df <sup>m</sup> t-2	3.107 (0.026)	0.0296 (0.981)	-0.327 (0.720)	-5.3502 (0.002)	0.0973 (0.767)	$df^{m}_{t-2}$ - $df^{m}_{t-3}$	-0.3006 (0.768)	-0.3382 (0.720)	-0.2001 (0.787)	2.7441 (0.054)	0.0326 (0.910)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-3	-0.6576 (0.541)	0.9159 (0.384)	0.375 (0.584)	3.692 (0.050)	-0.1574 (0.582)	$df^{m}_{t-3}$ - $df^{m}_{t-4}$	-0.6195 (0.442)	-0.4283 (0.576)	-0.2405 (0.664)	-2.7642 (0.012)	-0.3174 (0.203)	
	С	8.1511 <u>(0.000)</u>	4.9233 (0.010)	5.0653 (0.000)	-0.137 (0.924)	5.5163 (0.020)	С	-1.5599 (0.178)	-0.6747 (0.578)	-0.0132 (0.988)	-0.7796 (0.349)	1.1141 (0.489)	
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.696	0.685	0.746	0.736	0.687	R <sup>2</sup>	0.227	0.179	0.219	0.274	0.188	
	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	p-value	(0.016)	(0.058)	(0.020)	<u>(0.004)</u>	(0.045)	

Notes: The table shows results of OLS regressions where inflation expectations are dependent variable. Time indicator T of document frequencies is set to t, t-1, t-2 and t-3. Curr. stands for decades of reported month, Prev. – for previous month. Indicators I, II and III following document frequency indices denote number of decades. First figures in cells indicate coefficients, p-values are shown in National Back parentheses (1%, 5% and 10% significance levels highlighted by underlined bold italic (blue), bold (green) and italic (orange) respectively).13



## **Estimation results**

	Variables	Without transformations						1 <sup>st</sup> difference					
Respondents		Inflatio n	Exchange rate	Utilitie s	Food	Fuel	Variables	Inflation	Exchange rate	Utilities	Food	Fuel	
	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub>	0.2314 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.2412 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.1257 (0.000)	0.1901 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.2328 <u>(0.000)</u>	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub> - <b>π</b> <sub>t-2</sub>	0.0403 (0.417)	0.0368 (0.440)	0.0538 (0.795)	<b>0.0486</b> (0.315)	0.0363 (0.456)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t	<b>0.3198</b> (0.708)	0.7091 (0.609)	1.2605 (0.034)	2.2806 (0.146)	0.2741 (0.536)	$df^{m}_{t}$ - $df^{m}_{t-1}$	0.6496 (0.106)	0.9103 (0.155)	-0.3622 (0.268)	0.923 (0.239)	0.229 (0.283)	
Households	df <sup>m</sup> t-1	-0.109 (0.905)	0.4006 (0.773)	0.5428 (0.469)	1.8611 (0.253)	0.1923 (0.691)	dfm <sub>t-1</sub> - dfm <sub>t-2</sub>	-0.592 (0.169)	-0.5324 (0.406)	0.6644 (0.125)	-0.3849 (0.632)	0.0145 (0.951)	
	dfm t-2	0.9379 (0.320)	-0.4846 (0.725)	-0.1165 (0.876)	2.8517 (0.082)	0.3577 (0.463)	dfm <sub>t-2</sub> - dfm <sub>t-3</sub>	0.6681 (0.136)	-0.7833 (0.223)	-0.6464 (0.135)	1.3106 (0.106)	0.1944 (0.401)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-3	1.1311 (0.209)	1.0076 (0.461)	1.5535 (0.011)	1.7897 (0.280)	-0.0602 (0.890)	df <sup>m</sup> t-3 - df <sup>m</sup> t-4	-0.0787 (0.861)	1.2966 (0.040)	0.3707 (0.261)	-1.3967 (0.081)	-0.3634 (0.080)	
	С	3.3627 (0.305)	7.1208 (0.011)	4.9512 (0.000)	2.2403 (0.289)	4.7291 (0.092)	С	-1.9764 (0.234)	-1.5633 (0.198)	-0.1267 (0.795)	-0.5184 (0.607)	-0.5716 (0.672)	
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.626	0.61	0.739	0.669	0.623	R <sup>2</sup>	0.107	0.107	0.059	0.09	0.068	
	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	p-value	(0.144)	(0.144)	(0.489)	(0.236)	(0.400)	
			Without tr	onoforn	otiono		1st difference						
		1 0 11	without tr	ansiom	lations								

Relationship between monthly news indices and inflation expectations

Respondents		Without transformations						1 <sup>st</sup> difference					
	Variables	Inflatio n	Exchange rate	Utilitie s	Food	Fuel	Variables	Inflation	Exchange rate	Utilities	Food	Fuel	
	<b>Π</b> t-1	0.1624 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.1704 <u>(0.000)</u>	2.1542 (0.000)	0.1344 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.1667 <u>(0.000)</u>	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub> - <b>π</b> <sub>t-2</sub>	-0.0152 (0.696)	-0.0159 (0.668)	-0.0117 (0.752)	-0.003 (0.940)	-0.0024 (0.953)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t	0.1562 (0.794)	0.0426 (0.967)	0.0672 <u>(0.000)</u>	2.1366 (0.086)	0.1165 (0.729)	df <sup>m</sup> t - df <sup>m</sup> t-1	0.5383	0.333 (0.520)	0.3792 (0.148)	0.4323 (0.538)	0.0671 (0.708)	
Financial analysts	df <sup>m</sup> t-1	0.9969 (0.133)	-0.1376 (0.892)	1.0333 <u>(0.004)</u>	<b>1.7494</b> (0.156)	-0.1388 (0.728)	df <sup>m</sup> t-1 - df <sup>m</sup> t-2	0.6199 (0.086)	-0.8697 <i>(0.092</i> )	-0.4401 (0.215)	-0.3148 (0.646)	-0.093 (0.667)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-2	0.7433 (0.257)	-0.297 (0.765)	0.0352 (0.939)	1.405 (0.259)	0.2002 (0.628)	dfm <sub>t-2</sub> - dfm t-3	-0.832 (0.021)	0.0794 (0.875)	0.8993 (0.010)	-0.2915 (0.672)	0.1157 (0.595)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-3	1.2712 (0.052)	2.324 (0.022)	0.7893 (0.077)	<b>1.3447</b> (0.295)	0.1429 (0.677)	$df^{m}_{t-3}$ - $df^{m}_{t-4}$	0.4078 (0.284)	1.5838 (0.002)	-0.6829 (0.013)	0.6863 (0.333)	0.0082 (0.964)	
	С	-2.1422 (0.355)	3.7398 (0.058)	1.2051 (0.001)	1.1574 (0.491)	4.8133 (0.033)	С	-2.2581 (0.097)	-1.9795 ( <b>0.039)</b>	-0.4214 (0.296)	-0.5979 (0.513)	-0.769 (0.523)	
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.675	0.629	0.838	0.66	0.598	R <sup>2</sup>	0.164	0.175	0.148	0.023	0.01	
	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	(0.000)	<u>(0.000)</u>	p-value	(0.036)	(0.026)	(0.058)	(0.904)	(0.984)	

Notes: The table shows results of OLS regressions where inflation expectations are dependent variable. Time indicator T of document frequencies is set to t, t-1, t-2 and t-3. First figures in cells indicate coefficients, p-values are shown in parentheses (1%, 5% and 10% significance levels highlighted by underlined bold italic (blue), bold (green) and italic (orange) respectively).



## **Estimation results. LDA indices**

	Variable		Without tra	nsformations			1st difference				
Торіс	s s	Banks	Businesses	Households	Financial analysts	Variables	Banks	Businesses	Households	Financial analysts	
	π <sub>t-1</sub>	0.2707 <u>(<b>0.000</b>)</u>	0.3437 <u>(<b>0.000</b>)</u>	0.2051 <u>(<b>0.000)</b></u>	0.1626 <u>(<b>0.000</b>)</u>	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub> - <b>π</b> <sub>t-2</sub>	0.1731 <u>(<b>0.003)</b></u>	0.1598 <u>(<b>0.001</b>)</u>	0.0532 (0.291)	-0.0016 (0.970)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t	0.4646	-1.0941 (0.413)	-2.7212 (0.037)	-0.3453 (0.754)	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t</sub> - df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-1</sub>	0.7627	-1.8396 (0.059)	-1.1436 (0.111)	0.3425	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-1	-1.8021	2.4938	-1.5848 (0.196)	-0.3938 (0.708)	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-1</sub> -df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-2</sub>	-1.0257 (0.538)	1.2913 (0.197)	1.08 (0.116)	0.2248	
Energy	df <sup>m</sup> t-2	-0.9249 (0.405)	0.5825	-1.7524 (0.151)	-0.4304 (0.677)	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-2</sub> -df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-3</sub>	-0.2834 (0.809)	0.2077	0.0709	0.414	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-3	-0.5554 (0.758)	-2.0173 (0.112)	-1.803 (0.148)	-1.5595 (0.165)	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-3</sub> -df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-4</sub>	-0.1006 (0.956)	-0.8172 (0.374)	0.2491	-1.0749	
	С	15.9023 ( <b>0.000</b> )	8.9195 (0.044)	32.4739 (0.000)	14.6931 (0.000)	с	1.9027 (0.579)	3.4848 (0.209)	-0.7805 (0.729)	0.1552 (0.936)	
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.846	0.685	0.718	0.621	R <sup>2</sup>	0.276	0.215	0.072	0.054	
	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	p-value	(0.081)	(0.022)	(0.369)	(0.592)	
	Π <sub>t-1</sub>	0.2207 (0.000)	0.2349 <u>(0.000)</u>	0.0553 ( <b>0.028)</b>	0.0443 (0.021)	<b>π</b> <sub>t-1</sub> - <b>π</b> <sub>t-2</sub>	0.1404 <b>(0.011)</b>	0.1296 <u>(0.005)</u>	0.0376 (0.422)	-0.0148 (0.703)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t	0.2808 (0.622)	1.9069 <u>(0.008)</u>	1.2814 <u>(0.004)</u>	0.7794 ( <b>0.022</b> )	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t</sub> - df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-1</sub>	0.25 (0.667)	0.6776 (0.232)	0.6895 ( <b>0.020)</b>	-0.0101 (0.968)	
	df <sup>m</sup> t-1	1.0477 (0.254)	-0.6672 (0.334)	0.0629 (0.904)	0.9172 (0.032)	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-1</sub> -df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-2</sub>	0.9799 (0.265)	-0.1744 (0.770)	-0.7571 <b>(0.030)</b>	0.6726 (0.031)	
Exchange	df <sup>m</sup> t-2	0.7299 (0.397)	0.4674 (0.571)	0.7218 (0.165)	-0.214 (0.608)	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-2</sub> -df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-3</sub>	0.5198 (0.529)	0.4751 (0.509)	0.5903 <i>(0.088)</i>	-0.702 (0.025)	
Tale	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-3</sub>	-0.481 (0.520)	0.7308 (0.322)	1.0341 (0.022)	0.6904 ( <b>0.047</b> )	df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-3</sub> -df <sup>m</sup> <sub>t-4</sub>	-1.4949 ( <b>0.033)</b>	-0.9657 (0.109)	-0.4956 <i>(0.085)</i>	0.0254 (0.917)	
	С	-5.9118 (0.203)	-11.2109 (0.015)	-15.1982 ( <b>0.000</b> )	-10.7207 ( <b>0.000</b> )	С	-2.2814 (0.441)	-0.229 (0.938)	-0.3152 (0.800)	0.0642 (0.953)	
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.864	0.756	0.821	0.809	R <sup>2</sup>	0.397	0.217	0.147	0.102	
l i	p-value	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	<u>(0.000)</u>	p-value	<u>(0.009)</u>	(0.021)	(0.043)	(0.209)	

Notes: The table shows results of OLS regressions where inflation expectations are dependent variable. Time indicator T of document frequencies is set to t, t-1, t-2 and t-3. First figures in cells indicate coefficients, p-values are shown in parentheses (1%, 5% and 10% significance levels highlighted by underlined bold italic (blue), bold (green) and italic (orange) respectively).



## **Concluding remarks**

- Using natural language processing and machine learning techniques I cleaned and transformed textual data into news-based quantitative measures reflecting news topics relevant to inflation and inflation expectations.
- I applied two different approaches to filter out the news: a dictionary-based approach and a Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA).
- Different news topics have an impact on inflation expectations. For example, I found a strong
  relationship between inflation expectations of households and financial analysts with news about
  utilities, while businesses are sensitive to the news about food. Additionally, financial analysts
  and households have also been sensitive to levels and changes in the frequency of exchange
  rate news, constructed by LDA.
- As a result, we see that the formation of inflation expectations of different groups of respondents may depend on the media environment, namely both the volume of published articles and changes in this indicator. Different groups of respondents rely on different topics and different periods when estimating future inflation.
- Our results can help understand inflation expectations, especially as anchoring inflation expectations remains a key challenge for central banks. This may, among other things, be important for the central bank's communication policy and help to articulate clear and effective messages



## Thank you!

